## THE ENZYMATIC CONVERSION OF EXOMETHYLENE CEPHALOSPORIN C INTO DEACETYL CEPHALOSPORIN C AND THE ROLE OF MOLECULAR OXIGEN IN CEPHALOSPORIN C BIOSYNTHESIS

JACK E. BALDWIN." ROBERT M. ADLINGTON, NICHOLAS P. CROUCH AND CHRISTOPHER J. SCHOFIELD,

The Dyson Perrins Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford 0X1 3QY, U.K.

(Received in UK 2 November 1987)

Abstract: Cell free extracts from Cephalosporium acremonium containing deacetoxy/deacetyl cephalosporin C Synthetase activities have been shown to convert Exomethylene cephalosporin C directly to deacetyl cephalosporin C. Labelling experiments in the presence of <sup>10</sup>0<sub>2</sub>, indicated the source of the 3-methylene-oxygen of the latter was dioxygen.<sup>1</sup>

Until recently mechanistic investigations into the biosynthesis of cephalosporins have been hampered by the lack of reliable cell free extracts. Despite this it has been demonstrated that the immediate biosynthetic precursor of the cephalosporins is penicillin N (1).<sup>2</sup>,<sup>3</sup> Ring expansion of  $i$  to give deacetoxy cephalosporin C (DAOC)<sup>2,3</sup> (2) is followed by hydroxylation to give deacetyl cephalosporin C (DAC) (3)<sup>\*</sup> which is then acetylated to give cephalosporin C (4) (Scheme 1).<sup>5</sup>



Intact cell experiments have demonstrated that  $2S$ ,  $3R$ ,  $-18C$ -valine (5a) gave 4-18C-cephalosporin C  $(6a)^6$  whilst  $25.35^{-10}$ C-valine (5b) gave 3-methylene-<sup>13</sup>C-Cephalosporin C (6b).<sup>7</sup>





Townsend<sup>®</sup>'' and Abraham et al<sup>10</sup> have independently demonstrated by feeding chiral methyl valines to intact cell-systems, that the resultant cephalosporin C showed complete tritium scrambling at the  $C-2$  position, to give 9a (presumably via 7) (a substantial primary isotope effect was noted)<sup>8</sup> and that the allylic hydroxylation occurred with complete retention of stereochemistry to give 9b' (presumably via 2) (Scheme 2). We have also investigated several putative intermediates for the ring expansion procese.," including the 36-methylene hydroxypenam **(11).** However incubation of fi with a freshly prepared extract of DAOC/DAC Synthetase gave no cephalosporin products.



 $R =$   $\left( D - \alpha \cdot \text{aminoadipoyl}\right)$ 

In our laboratories we have demonstrated, in accord with the results of Scheidigger <u>et al</u>, using purified extracts from C.acremonium CO 728, that the biosynthetic conversions  $1 \div 2$  and  $2 \div 3$ are catalysed by a single bifunctional non haem iron oxygenase (termed DAOC/DAC Synthetase).<sup>11</sup> The enzyme was shown to require oxygen and a-ketoglutarate as co-substrates and needed asoorbate for optimal activity. (In contrast using prokaryotic systems Wolfe <u>et al</u> have reported the separation of the **DAOC** Synthetase and DAC Synthetase activities").



A mechanism involving the intermediacy of exomethylene cephalosporin C (10) may be considered for the ring expansion of  $1$  to  $2$ . To investigate this possibility we have synthesised  $10$  and incubated it with purified extracts of DAOG/DAC Synthetase, from C.acremonium CO 728.

Thus the sulphoxlde (12) (obtained from El1 Lilly & Co.) was deoxygenated (acetyl bromide/ cyclohexene) to glve the sulphlde **(13).** Cleavage of the phenoxyacetyl side ahaln of 13 gave the ester (14), which was coupled with protected  $D-\alpha$ -aminoadipic acid (15)<sup>1</sup> to yield the triprotected exomethylene cephem (16). Partial hydrogenation followed by purification (preparative electrophoresis at pH 3.5) gave 10.

When 10 was incubated with purified extracts of DAOC/DAC Synthetase, in the presence of the appropriate cofactors,<sup>11</sup> a single beta-lactam product was observed in the <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (500 MHz) of the crude incubation mixture (10-100% conversion of 10). This new product was identical (by <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r., h.p.1.c. retention time, and bioassay) after isolation, by reverse phaae h.p.l.o., to an



authentic sample of DAC (3). We did not observe any  $2$ , either by  $H$  n.m.r. or by h.p.l.c. analysis, thus 10 is not a free intermediate in the ring expansion process  $(1 + 2)$ . Treatment of the biosynthetic (3) with Pormic acid gave the lactone (17). identical to an authentic sample (by <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. and h.p.1.c. retention time), which was converted into the derivative  $(18)^{13}$  (M<sup>+</sup> found 441.1206, C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>2</sub>,N<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S requires 441.1206).



Recently, we have reported that the related enzyme isopenicillin N synthetase, is capable of producing hydroxylated  $\beta$ -lactam products from suitably unsaturated substrates<sup>16</sup>,<sup>17</sup> and shown that the source of the oxygen atom of the hydroxyl moiety was molecular oxygen<sup>10</sup> (for example Scheme 4). As we believed the conversion of  $\frac{10}{5}$  to  $\frac{3}{5}$ , may arise from a similar mode of



Scheme 4  $R = 6 - (L - \alpha - \text{aminoadipoyl})$ 

action by DAOC/DAC Synthetase, we have observed this conversion in an atmosphere of  $100<sub>2</sub>$ . We also observed the cell free conversion of  $2$  to  $3$  in the presence of  $10<sub>2</sub>$ . Stevens et al<sup>19</sup> have reported that the origin of the C3-methylene hydroxyl group of 3 from 2 was molecular oxygen, when an intact cell experiment in the presence of  $1*0<sub>2</sub>$  was performed. However, the level of incorporation was not reported.

Thus incubation of 2 and 10 independently with DAOC/DAC synthetase under an atmosphere of  $100<sub>2</sub>$ gas (99%) gave labelled (3a, b) which were purified and lactonised as before. Owing to the low levels of conversion  $(10 + 3)$ , and  $2 + 3$ ), under the conditions of the labelling experiment, we were unable to obtain the lactone (17) cleanly as before. However, direct analysis of the underivatised lactone (17) was achieved by positive ion thermospray h.p.l.c. mass spectrometry, using a reverse phase octadecylsilane column. The results (Tables 1,2) indicate a significant (30-40%) incorporation of <sup>18</sup>0 into the lactone (17), from both 10 and 3. The most probable explanation for the less than stochiometric levels of incorporation results from  $160<sub>2</sub>$  present in the enzyme preparation. We found that degassing of the enzyme solution led to loss of activity. However, we cannot eliminate the alternative explanation that the source of the hydroxylated oxygen atom was at least partly derived from water.



Table 1 (10 to 17, via 3)

a) for  $C_4H_4H^{16}O_8S$ 



Table 2  $(2 \text{ to } 17, \text{ via } 2)$ 

We have proposed a mechanism for the enzymatic ring expansion of 1 involving an enzyme bound (Fe IV) ferryl oxo moiety,<sup>20</sup> in analogy with mechanisms proposed for the mode of action of other non haem oxygenases,<sup>21</sup> and believe the conversion of 10 to 3 may involve such a species. Iron, dioxygen and a-ketoglutarate can combine at the active site of DAOC/DAC synthetase to form the ferryl species, with the production of  $CO<sub>z</sub>$  and succinic acid. In the normal hydroxylation pathway from 2 this species can insert into a C-H bond of the 3-C-methyl group of 2 (Scheme 5, a) to give an enzyme bound intermediate (20), which can then collapse with retention<sup>9</sup> to give 3. In the case of 10 the enzyme bound ferryl species may insert into the 2-C-H bond to give 21, which may

rearrange (possibly via a radical process) to give 20 (Scheme 5, b). An alternative proposal is that an oxy-ene type reaction pathway (Schema 5, c), such haa been considered for cytochrome  $P-450^{22}$ , may give rise to the same intermediate.

If a ferryl-oxo (Fe-D) complex la indeed the enzymatic oxidant, then it is **pC8,slble** that the isotopic exchange of oxygen occurs at the active site. Groves et al have reported evidence for exchange processes of thle type in oxidationa utilleing an oxoporphlnate chromium (V) **Complex" Or**  the complex resulting from the reaction of chloro-5,10,15,20-tetramesltylpcrphinatoiron (III) with m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid.<sup>2\*</sup>'<sup>25</sup>. There is, however, no precedent for an exchange of this type in a non-haem oxygenase and in the case of the decarboxylation coupled oxygenase. L-prolyl hydroxylase, Fujimoto <u>et</u> al<sup>26</sup> and Prockop <u>et al</u><sup>27</sup> have independently demonstrated that '°O<sub>2</sub>, but not H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>18</sup> was incorporated into collagen hydroxyproline.



Scheme<sub>5</sub>  $En = DAOC/DAC$  Synthetase

Acknowledgements: We thank the S.E.R.C. and Eli Lilly & Co. Indianapolis U.S.A. for financial support and Mr. C.C. Knight, Hr. J.W. Keeping and Dr. H-H. Tlng for the preparation of the enzyme extracts and Mr. R. Procter and Dr. R. Aplin for mass spectrometric analysis.

#### GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL

Standard chemical procedures as previously reported were  $used.$ <sup>11</sup> Melting points were recorded on a Buchi 510 apparatus and are uncorrected. Infra red spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 681 spectrodhotometer. \*H n.m.r. were recorded at 300 Miz on a Bruker WH300 spectrometer or at 500 MHz on a Bruker AM500 spectrometer. <sup>12</sup>C n.m.r. were recorded at 62.85 MHz on a Bruker AM250 spectrometer. Mass spectra in the electron impact or chemical ionisation modes were recorded on a Vc Micromaass 16P spectrometer. Samples requtflng desorptlon chemical lonisatlon or fast atom bombardment were run on VG Micromass 30F or ZAB 1P spectrometers. The h.p.l.c./mass spectrometry was carried out using a VG Micromass 2250 spectrometer coupled to VG Thermospray interface Rheodyne 7R5 injector, two Water 510 pumps and a Phase Sep S5 0DS2 column (150 x 4.6 mm internal diameter).

H.p.1.c. of crude lncubatlon mixtures was carried out using a Waters M-6000 A pump, Aheodyne 7R5 injector. PYE Unicam LC3 UV detector or Waters 441 Absorbance Detector and cclwnna packed with Hypersil ODS (250  $x$  4.6 mm internal diameter).

Paper electrophoresis (analytical and preparative) was carried out at pH3.5 on Whatman No.1 filter paper at 70 volts cm<sup>-1</sup> using a Locarte power pack. The buffer consisted of water, acetic acid and pyridine (135:10:1 v/v). Cadmium/ninhydrin reagent was used to locate amino acids.<sup>29</sup>

# (2R.6R.7R)-1-Aza-3-methylene-7-[5R-5-p-nitrobenzyloxycarbonylamino-5-p-nitrobenzyloxycarbonyl-<br>pentanamido]-8-oxo-5-thiabicyclo[4.2.0]octane-2-carboxylic acid p-nitrobenzyl ester (16)

A solution of  $14^{28}$  (73 mg, 0.21 mmol), 5R-5-p-nitrobenzyloxycarbonylamino-5-p-nitrobenzyloxy-carbonylpentanoic acid (15)<sup>16</sup> (100 mg, 0.21 mmol) and 1-ethoxycarbonyl-2-ethoxy-dihydroquinoline (52 mg, 0.21 mmol) in dic solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue dissolved in ethyl acetate (25 ml), washed with 2N hydrochloric acid (25 ml), aqueous sodium bicarbonate (25 ml) and brine (25 ml), dried (magnesium sulphate) and evaporated to dryness. Chromatography [preparative layer chromatography sulphate) and evaporated to dryness. C sulphate) and evaporated to dryness. Chromatography (preparative inver-chromatography (dich)rozoethane/ethyl acettae, 7:3) R7 0.25] gave 16 (75 mg, 45\$). 6y (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)<br>1.67-2.00(4H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.24-2.4 54.00; H, 4.24; N, 27.78%.

#### Exomethylene Cephalosporin C-(2R, 6R, 7R)-1-Aza-3-methylene-7-[5R-5-amino-5-carboxypentanamido]-8oxo-5-thiabicyclo[4.2.0]octane-2-carboxylic acid (10)

The protected cepham (16) (50 mg,  $6.2 \times 10^{-2}$  mmol) was hydrogenated over 10% Pd on chareoal (100 mg) in the presence of sodium bicarbonate (6 mg, 7.1 x  $10^{-2}$  mmol) in tetrahydrofuran/water (15 ml, 1:1) at 20℃ and atmospheric pressure (hydrogen uptake - 8 ml). The solution was then filtered (celite), washed with water (10 ml) and evaporated to dryness. The residue was then partitioned between water  $(25 \text{ ml})$  and ethyl acetate  $(25 \text{ ml})$ , the aqueous layer was separated, washed  $[2 \times \text{ethyl}]\text{ acetate } (25 \text{ ml})]$  and evaporated to dryness. The residue was then purified by electrophoresis (pH 3.5, 4 KV, 1 hour) and the ninhydrin active band 15-20 cm from the origin extracted to give 10 (18 mg, 81%). 6H (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>0) 1.35-1.80(4H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.32-2.52(2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.18, 3.68(2H, ABq, J 13 Hz, 2 x 4-H), 3.70-3.73(1H, m, HNCHCO<sub>2</sub>), 4.81(1H, s, 2-H), 5.08, 5.19(2H, 2 x s, C=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.39 Alternative purification was obtained by h.p.l.c. (25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, retention time ca 11  $min.$ ).

### Incubation of 10 with DAC/DOAC Synthetase

Partially purified DAC/DAOC Synthetase (2 ml, ca 1.0 International Unit) in TRIS-HCl buffer (pH 7.4, 50mM)<sup>11</sup> was preincubated for 2 minutes at  $27^{\circ}$ C and 250 r.p.m. with 200 µl of pH 7.4 co-factor solution prepared from  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate (14.6 mg), L-ascorbate (17.6 mg), dithiothreitol (30.8 mg), ferrous sulphate (1.4 mg) and ammonium sulphate (1.32 g) in water (10 ml). 10 (1 mg) in TRIS-HCl (pH 7.4, 1.8 ml, 50 mM) was added and the pH adjusted to 7.4 (c. NaOH). The resulting solution was incubated at 27°C and 250 r.p.m. for 2 hours, after which protein was precipitated by<br>the addition of acetone to 70% v/v. After centrifugation (10 K r.p.m., 2 minutes at 0°C) the supernatent was evaporated to dryness. The resultant solid was suspended in  $D_2O(1.0 \text{ mL})$ , re-evaporated and re-suspended in D<sub>2</sub>O (ca 0.6 ml), filtered (ACRO LC13 disposable filter assembly, Product No. 4450, Gelman Sciences) and examined by <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (500 MHz). A single 6-lactam product was observed in the region 6 4.9-5.5. Purification by h.p.l.c. (25 mM ammonium bicarbonate) gave DAC (3), identical with an authentic sample, by <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>0) 1.48-1.79(4H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.22-2.28(2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.28, 3.58(2H, ABq, J 18Hz, 2 x 4-H), 4.07, 4.12(2H, ABq, J 15 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH) electrophoresis (pH 3.5, 4 KV, thour, ca 21 cm from the origin) and bio-assay against E.coli ESS. In a boiled control experiment, the DAOC/DAC Synthetase was refluxed in TRIS-HCl for 10 minutes before incubation. After work-up as before, only starting material (10) was observed in the 'H n.m.r. (500 MHz) of the crude incubation mixture.

#### Derivatisation of Biosynthetic 3 (Method a)

Biosynthetic 3 (ca 500 µg) was dissolved in formic acid (0.5 ml) and allowed to stand for 10 minutes, after which It was evaporated to dryness. The crude lactone (17) was dissolved in 1.5 ml (water), then diethyl pyrocarbonate (100 ul) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was basified to pH 9-10 (aqueous sodium bicarbonate) and vigorously stirred for 50 minutes, when it was acidified to pH2. The aqueous solution was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 5 \text{ ml})$  and dried briefly (sodium sulphate). Excess diazomethane in ether was then added to the stirred solution. After stirring for 15 minutes, the solution was evaporated to dryness to give the crude derivative Arter stirring for 15 minutes, the solution was evaporated to dryness to give the crude derivative<br>
(18). Chromatography [preparative layer chromatography (ethyl acetate) Rf 0.35] gave 18, identical<br>
with an authentic sam requires 441.1206.

#### Derivatisatiqn of Blosynthetlc **3** (Method b)

The crude lactone (17), obtained as in Method a, was purified by h.p.1.c. (ODS column. mobile phase = 5% acetonitrile/water) to give the purified lactone (17) (retention time = <u>ca</u> 14 minutes  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) 1.47-1.80(4H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.22-2.29(2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 3.55, 3.72(2H, ABq, <u>J</u> 18 Hz, 4-H), 4.92, 4.95(2H, ABq, <u>J</u> 15 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>O), 5.06, 5.63(2H, 2 x d, <u>J</u> 4.5 Hz, 6-H, 7-H). m/e (positive argon fast atom bombardment) 356 (MH<sup>+</sup>).

# Incubation 2 or 10 with DAC/DAOC Synthetase under an atmosphere of  $100<sub>2</sub>$

A solution of 20 mmolar TRIS-HCl, pH 7.6 (15 ml), in a 2-necked 100 ml round bottomed flask was thoroughly degassed, after which the vessel was returned to atmospheric pressure with argon.<br>This procedure was repeated three times. A sample of <sup>18</sup>0<sub>2</sub> (99.8\$, 40 ml) was then transferred to the incubation vessel by means of a gas tight syringe and introduced into the TRIS-HCl solution through a rubber spectrum. The solution was then stirred VlgorousLy by means of an efficient magnetic stirrer for 3 hours. 5 ml of the oxygenated TRIS-HCl solution was then withdrawn from the vessel and was used to make up the cofactors solution, under an atmosphere of argon. The RE enzyme (ca 1 I.U.) in 4 ml of TRIS-HCl was then injected into the reaction flask. After stirring for 5 minutes, the cofactors (0.5 ml) were introduced and the vessel briefly degassed and returned to atmospheric pressure with argon.  $100$ , (40 ml) was then injected into the incubation solution, which was then stirred for 2 min., after which 10 or 2 (0.4 mg) in degassed TRIS HCl (0.25 ml) were adde via a syringe. The incubation mixture was then shaken at 250 r.p.m. and 27°C for 2 hours, afte which the protein was precipitated by injection of acetone and the incubation worked up as above to give <u>3</u>, which was purified by h.p.l.c. as before and lactonised to give <u>17</u>, which was analysed by h.p.l.c./mass spectrometry (see Tables 1 and 2).

## References

- 1. This wark has been reported in a preliminary form: R.M. Adlington, J.E. Baldwin, B. Chakravarti, M. Jung, S.E. Moroney, J.A. Murphy, P.D. Singh, J.J. Usher, and C. Vallejo,<br>J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun., 1983, 153; J.E. Baldwin, R.M. Adlington, C.J. Schofield, N.F Chem.Commun., 1983, 153; J.E. Baldwin, R.M. Adlington, C.J. Schofield, N.P. Crouch, and Hong-Hoi Tlng. J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun., 1987,1556.
- U. Kohsaka, and A.L. Demaln. Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun., 1976, 70. 465.  $\mathbf{P}$
- 3. J.E. Baldwin, P.D. Singh. M. Yoshida, Y. Sawada, and A.L. Demain, Bi0chem.J.. 1980, 186. 889.
- 4. M.K. Turner, J.E. Farthing, and S.L. Brewer, Biochem.J., 1978, 173. 839.
- 5. Y. Fujisawa, H. Shirafuji, M. Kida, K. Nara, M. Yoneda, and T. Kanzaki, Nature (London), New Biol., 1973, 246, 154.
- 6. N. Neuss, C.H. Nash, J.E. Baldwin, P.A. Lemke, and J.B. Crutzner, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 1973, 95, 3797.
- 7. H. Kluender, C.H. Bradley, C.J. Sih, P. Fawcett, and E.P. Abraham, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 1973, 95, 6149.
- 8. C.A. Townsend, 11.8. Theis, A.Scott Neese. **E.B.** Barrabee, and D. Poland, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 1985, 107, 4760.
- 9. C .A. Townsend, and E.B. Barrabee, J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun., 1984, 1586.
- 10. C.-P. Pang, R.L. White, E.P. Abraham, D.H.G. Crout, M. Lutstorf, P.J. Morgan, and A.E. Derome Biochem.J., 1984, 222, 777.
- 11. J.E. Baldwin. E.P. Abraham, R.M. Adlington, J.D. Coates, H.J. Crabbe, **N.P.** Crouch, J.W. Keeping, G.C. Knight, C.J. Schofield, M. Thornilley, H.-H. Ting. C.A. Vallejo. and T. Willie, Biochem.J., 1987, 245, 831.
- 12. A. Scheidegger, M.T. Küenzi, and J. Nüesch, J.<u>Antibiot.,</u> 1984, 3<u>7</u>, 522
- 13. S.E. Jensen, D.W.S. Westlake, and S. Wolfe, <u>J.Antibiot.</u>, 1985, <u>38</u>, 263
- 14. J.E. Baldwin, S.R. Herchen, and P.D. Singh, <u>Biochem.J.,</u> 1980, <u>186</u>, 881
- 15. P.B. Loder, and E.P. Abraham, <u>Biochem.J.</u>, 1971, <u>123</u>, 471.
- 16. J.E. Baldwin, R.M. Adllngton, A.E. Derome, H.-H. Ting. and N.J. Turner, J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun., 1984, 1211.
- 17. J.E. Baldwin, R.M. Adlington, A. Basak, S.L. Flitsch, A.K. Forrest, and H.-H. Ting, J.Chsm.Soc., Chem.Commun.. 1986, 273.
- J.E. Baldwin, R.M. Adlington, S.L. Flitsch, H.-H. Ting, and N.J. Turner, J.Chem.Soc., 18. Chem.Commun., 1986, 1305.
- 19. C.M. Stevens, E.P. Abraham, F.-C. Huang, and C.J. Sih, results reported at the Annual meeting of the Federation of American Society for experimental Biology and Medicine, (American Society for Biological Chemistry), April, 1975.
- 20. J.E. Baldwin in the Proceedings of the 15th International Symposium on the Chemistry of Natural Products, Kyoto, Japan, 1986.
- 21. See for example: B. Siegel, Bio-Org.Chem., 1979, 8, 219; H.M. Hanauske-Abel, and V. Gunzler, J. Theoret Biol., 1982, 94, 421; J.S. Blanchard, and S. Englard, Biochem., 1983, 22, 5922.
- 22. J.T. Groves, and D.V. Subramanian, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 1984, 106, 2177.
- 23. J.T. Groves, and W.J. Kruper Jr., J.Am.Chem.Soc., 1979, 101, 7613.
- 24. J.T. Groves, R.C. Haushalter, M. Nakumara, T.E. Nomo, and B.J. Evans, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 1981,<br>103, 2884. See also; G.D. Nordblom, R.E. White, and M.J. Coon, <u>Arch.Biochem.Biophys.</u>, 1976,<br>175, 524.
- 25. R.Kent Murmann, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 1974, 96, 7836.
- 26. D. Fujimoto, and N. Tamiya, Biochem. Biophys. Act, 1963, 69, 559.
- 27. D. Prockop, A. Kaplan, and S. Udenfriend, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 1963, 101, 499.
- 28. R.R. Chauvette, and P.A. Pennington, J.Org.Chem., 1973, 38, 2994.
- 29. J. Heilmann, J. Barrollier, and E. Watzke, Hoppe-Seyler's Z.Physiol.Chem., 1957, 309, 219.